

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,884	08/20/2003	Kia Silverbrook	DOT06US	5227	
24011 7.	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	OK RESEARCH PT	FRECH, KARL D			
393 DARLING STREET BALMAIN, 2041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRALIA			2876		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/643,884		SILVERBROOK E	ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Karl D Fred		2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no ever ply within the statut d will apply and will ute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	September 20	10 4 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is no	 n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers	-						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	n priority und	ar 35 I I S C & 110/a	\-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		I) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	٠,	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ratent Application (PT)	U-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
	Action Summar	,	Part of Paper No./Mai	il Date 111004			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration filed September 2, 2004 has been considered.

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 2-3 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vajk et al. 5,265,033.

Vajk discloses an ATM/POS based electronic mail system that allows an existing ATM/POS terminal to be accessed by a customer with an appropriate card. This customer may access an electronic mail system (col 4 lines 64 – col 5 line 43). That is the existing machine operates in different modes, namely the ATM/POS mode and the Email mode, dependent upon the insertion of an appropriate card. Vajk does not

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

specifically disclose the visually encoded information. However, visually encoded information on cards is old and well known, such as bar codes, alphanumeric codes, dot codes, among others. These codes are inherently optically read and converted into an electronic signal that is usable by the machine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use visually encoded information on the card of Vajk in order to allow for more specific security and to increase the versatility of the system to include multiple formats of existing cards.

- 4. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, along with all the other limitations of independent claim 2, displaying by a book reader a portion of a book, the contents of this portion of a book held on a card.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed September 2, 2004 regarding claims 2 and 3 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Vajk does not disclose the card is encoded in a manner that determines the operation mode of the device. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The applicant has not specifically claimed what the specific "operation mode" is. The examiner has therefore broadly interpreted "operation mode" as the mode in which the reader is actively operating in response to a standard card being present within the reader. Applicant also argues that

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884

Art Unit: 2876

the visual representation and camera are not disclosed by Vajk. The Examiner presents Pierce et al. 4,734,565 in support of the previous holding of Official Notice. Pierce discloses a CCD (camera) for reading an optical (visual) code held on a card.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL:** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,884 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2876
